BIBIYAN LAW GROUP, P.C. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 1 David D. Bibiyan (Cal. Bar No. 287811) COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDING david@tomorrolaw.com 2 SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT Diego Aviles (Cal. Bar No. 315533) diego@tomorrowlaw.com JUL 1 8 2019 1801 Century Park East., Suite 2600 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 438-5555 SARBRINA JAMISON, DEPUTY Facsimile: (310) 300-1705 Attorneys for Plaintiff, 6 HERMILA RIOS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 10 11 HERMILA RIOS, on behalf of herself and all **CASE NO.: CIVDS1807390** others similarly situated, 12 [Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. David Cohn Dept. 26] **13** Plaintiffs, [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION 14 v. SETTLEMENT, APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, AND 15 NONGSHIM AMERICA, INC., a California ENHANCEMENT AWARD corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, 16 inclusive, 17 Defendants. 18 19 This matter having come before the Court on July 18, 2019 for final fairness hearing 20 pursuant to the Order of this Court dated April 12, 2019 granting preliminary approval 21 ("Preliminary Approval Order") of the class settlement upon the terms set forth in the Joint 22 23 Stipulation re: Class Action and Representative Action Settlement ("Settlement Agreement") submitted in support of Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement; and due and 24 adequate notice having been given to the Class Members as required in the Preliminary Approval 25 Order and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise 26 being fully informed and good cause appearing therefor, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED 27

ORDER FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT

28

AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Enhancement Award and Reasonable Attorneys' Fees and Costs is hereby granted in its entirety.

- 2. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement and the addendum thereto.
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation and over all Parties to this litigation, including all Class Members.
- 4. Distribution of the Notice of Settlement of Class Action Lawsuit ("Class Notice") directed to the Class Members as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and the other matters set forth herein have been completed in conformity with the Preliminary Approval Order, including individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and was the best notice practicable under the circumstances. This Class Notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed class settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, to all persons entitled to such Class Notice, and the Class Notice fully satisfied the requirement of due process.
- 5. No Class Member has validly opted out of the settlement. No Class Member objected to the settlement.
- 6. The Court further finds that the settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and that plaintiff has satisfied the standards and applicable requirements for final approval of class action settlement under California law, including the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, rule 23, approved for use by the California state courts in *Vasquez v. Superior Court* (1971) 4 Cal.3d 800, 821.
- 7. This Court hereby approves the class settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that the settlement is, in all respects, fair, adequate and reasonable and directs the parties to effectuate the settlement according to its terms. The Court finds that the settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious and non-collusive arm's length negotiations. The Court further finds that the parties have conducted extensive and costly investigation and research and counsel for the parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that settlement at this time will avoid additional

1

5

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

17

16

19

18

21

20

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

substantial costs, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of this action. The Court has noted the significant benefits to the Class Members under the settlement. The Court also finds that the class is properly certified as a class for settlement purposes only.

- For settlement purposes only, the Court certifies the following class: all current and 8. former non-exempt employees of Defendant in any of Defendant's locations in the State of California at any time during the period from March 28, 2014 through February 17, 2019.
- 9. Plaintiff Hermila Rios ("Plaintiff") and Class Members, except those that have submitted a valid and timely request to be excluded from the Settlement Agreement, waive, release, discharge, and promise never to assert in any forum against Defendant, its past or present parents, subsidiaries, predecessors or successors in interest, affiliated and related companies and parents, or the past or present officers, directors, owners, members, partners, shareholders, investors, agents, or employees of any of them (collectively, the "Released Parties"), any and all claims, rights, demands, liabilities, and causes of action that accrued during the Class Period and arise from the facts or claims litigated in the Action against Defendant, whether known or unknown, contingent or accrued, arising out of services to Defendant, including but not limited to all claims, rights, demands, liabilities, and causes of action based on any state or local wage-andhour law and including without limitation statutory, constitutional, contractual, or common-law claims for wages, damages, unpaid costs, penalties, liquidated damages, punitive damages, interest, attorneys' fees, litigation costs, restitution, or equitable relief, including claims based on the following categories of allegations: (i) claims for failure to pay overtime wages (Cal. Lab. Code §§ 510, 1194, 1198); (ii) claims for noncompliant meal periods and/or associated premiums (Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7, 512(a)); (iii) claims for noncompliant rest periods and/or associated premiums (Cal. Lab. Code § 226.7); (iv) claims for failure to timely pay wages upon termination of employment and associated waiting time penalties (Cal. Lab. Code §§ 201-203); (v) claims for failure to furnish accurate itemized wage statements (Cal. Lab. Code § 226(a)); (vi) claims for unreimbursed business expenses (Cal. Lab. Code § 2802); (vii) claims for violations of California Business & Professions Code section 17200, et seq. arising from the facts alleged in the operative

pleading; (viii) claims for civil penalties under Labor Code section 226.3; (ix) claims for violation of Labor Code section 558 arising from the facts alleged in the operative pleading; and (x) claims pursuant to the PAGA (Cal. Lab. Code §§ 2698 et seq.) arising from the facts alleged in the operative pleading albeit only for the PAGA Period(collectively, the "Released Claims").

- 10. Nothing contained in this Settlement Agreement shall be construed or deemed in admission of liability, culpability, negligence, or wrongdoing on the part of Defendant. Each of the parties has entered into this Settlement Agreement with the intention to avoid further disputes and litigation, and the attendant inconvenience and expense. This Settlement Agreement shall be inadmissible in evidence in any action or proceeding, except an action or proceeding to approve, interpret, or enforce its terms.
- 11. The Settlement Agreement provides for a "Gross Settlement Amount" of \$1,080,000.00. From the Gross Settlement Amount individual settlement payments to Class Members, Court approved attorneys' fees and costs, the claims administrative costs, the class representative's enhancement fee, and payment to the LWDA for PAGA penalties in the amount of \$30,000.00 shall be deducted. The employer's share of taxes imposed on the wage portion of the individual settlement payments shall be provided by Defendant separate and apart from the Gross Settlement Amount. The payment of the settlement funds and the employer's share of taxes on wages by Defendant, as well as the payment of individual settlement checks to Class Members, will be made as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the total amount of \$360,000.00 which is one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount and to be deducted therefrom. In addition, the Court awards Class Counsel reimbursement of their costs of \$4,736.62 to be deducted from the Maximum Settlement Amount. Attorneys' fees and costs will be paid by the Claims Administrator from the Gross Settlement Amount as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. The Court hereby approves an enhancement fee to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00. Payment for the enhancement fee will be paid by the Claims Administrator from the Gross Settlement Sum as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

	This d	ocument shall constitute a J	Judgment for purposes of California Rule of	
1				
2	Court 3.769(h).			
3	KE IC CO OPPEDED			
4	,	<i>D</i> .		
5	11/4	, 2019	Dry .	
6	bated:	, 2017	Judge of the Superior Court	
7			DAVID COHN	
8				
9				
10	N.			
11	1			
12				
13				
	14			
	15			
	16			
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
2	25			
2	26			
	27			
,	28			
	ORD	ORDER FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT		